Pages 1 - 12

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS. NO. CR 18-00465 MMC

UNITED MICROELECTRONICS CORPORATION, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

San Francisco, California Monday, July 25, 2022

TRANSCRIPT OF REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES: (Via Zoom videoconference.)

For Plaintiff:

STEPHANIE M. HINDS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor San Francisco, California 94102

BY: LAURA E. VARTAIN HORN
NICHOLAS J. WALSH
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE National Security Division 950 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20530

BY: STEPHEN MARZEN
NICHOLAS O. HUNTER
TRIAL ATTORNEYS

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

REPORTED BY: Ruth Levine Ekhaus, RMR, RDR, FCRR

CSR No. 12219, Official U.S. Reporter

| 1 | APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED) |
|----|---|
| 2 | For Defendant Fujian Jinhua Integrated Circuit: |
| 3 | SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP |
| 4 | 525 University Avenue, Suite 1100 Palo Alto, California 94301 |
| 5 | BY: JACK P. DICANIO, ATTORNEY AT LAW EMILY A. REITMEIER, ATTORNEY AT LAW |
| 6 | SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER |
| 7 | & FLOM LLP 300 South Grand Avenue |
| 8 | Los Angeles, California 90071 BY: MATTHEW E. SLOAN, ATTORNEY AT LAW |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

Monday - July 25, 2022

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:05 a.m.

PROCEEDINGS

3 ---000---

THE CLERK: Calling Criminal Case Number 18-465,
United States of America versus Fujian Jinhua Integrated
Circuit.

Will counsel please state your appearances for the record, starting with Government counsel.

THE COURT: You're muted, Ms. Vartain.

MS. VARTAIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Laura

Vartain, Nic Hunter, Steve Marzen, and Nick Walsh for the

United States.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DiCANIO: Good morning, Your Honor. Jack DiCanio, Matt Sloan, and Emily Reitmeier for Jinhua.

THE COURT: All right. Good morning.

I don't know how much good I'm going to be after my

European travels, and returning to succumb to COVID immediately

upon my return, no matter how careful I was in the process. So

it's been a few days now and, hopefully, I'm on the mend.

Anyway, it doesn't look like anything's happened in my absence, except to have the actual letter filed that was described by Mr. DiCanio at the last calling of the case.

I was expecting, perhaps, a somewhat modified or maybe just edited a bit submission of the proposed letters rogatory

```
by the defendant. I didn't get anything. But in the interim,
 1
     having now received the actual response from the People's
 2
     Republic of China, it isn't so much a concern about my saying
 3
     if, I do issue the letter, that I was told this.
 4
                                                       Now we have
 5
     it, or so such is being reported to me.
          But -- so why are we having the conference? Okay.
 6
 7
              MR. DiCANIO: Your Honor, I think we did submit,
    probably shortly before the hearing, a revised letter rogatory.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          This hearing?
 9
              MR. DiCANIO: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
              THE COURT: Well, I've --
              MR. DiCANIO: I think about a half hour ago.
12
13
              THE COURT: Well, I've spent about the better part of
     the time I've been here this morning reading all kinds of
14
15
     different things.
16
               No. I mean, I have what was filed before I left, but
     I don't have -- is this supposed to be -- I thought we -- well,
17
18
     maybe this was all that was being discussed about being
19
     revised; in other words, nothing since this one.
20
              MR. DiCANIO: Correct, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Oh, okay.
              MR. DiCANIO: And I know that in the one that we filed
22
     just this morning, I believe -- and Ms. Vartain could correct
23
     me -- I believe we sent it over to the Government, and they had
24
```

no further comments on language. And I think that's the one

25

that we filed, probably, about 45 minutes ago or so.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't have it.

I mean, I have the one from July 1. I do not have the more recent one. Okay. So I wasn't wrong that you were going to try to clean it up and maybe take a look at it, but -- okay.

Somebody is now, at my request, going to go try and find that.

So maybe I'll confirm with Ms. Vartain, since she's seen it and I have not, whether there was anything that jumped out at you regarding its content.

MS. VARTAIN: Your Honor, the reason the Court hasn't seen it is that I think it was filed while I've been on this conference. So I saw it pop up while I was on Zoom.

And it does reflect the Government's revisions. And so the Government does oppose the Court filing -- sending the second letters rogatory; but if the Court is going to send the second letters rogatory regarding live testimony, we concur with the language in what Jinhua just filed.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So in other words, if I overrule the objection, then this is as good language as we can come up with at the moment.

All right. So I'll take a look at it, as long as somebody can go find it for me in the. . .

MR. DiCANIO: Your Honor, can I raise one issue on the letter rogatory?

And I apologize to both you and the Government on this. 1 When I was reading it before the hearing, I notice that we 2 forgot to put a reference in about the safe passage agreement 3 that the Government had given us before the trial started. 4 as I read the Ministry of Justice letters, there is some 5 6 reference to that. And so I'd like to propose some language to Ms. Vartain that I think she'll be comfortable with. 7 tracks the letter. And then maybe we can resubmit the letter 8 to you in an hour or so. 9 Okay. Right now, I'm just waiting for 10 THE COURT: 11 somebody to try and find whatever the current one is. Do you have someplace that you would want to insert that? 12 13 MR. DiCANIO: Maybe I can turn it over to Ms. Reitmeier, who probably has the letter handy. 14 So, Ms. Reitmeier, if you can see 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 if there's someplace where, in the letter, it might fit in to 17 add language about safe passage. And is this language we used before in earlier -- no, 18 because we never had one on live testimony. 19 20 MR. DiCANIO: Correct, that's the reason.

And, Your Honor, I apologize. We missed it and it just dawned on me as I was looking at the papers this morning.

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Are you saying that the Chinese government had a concern about safe passage?

MR. DiCANIO: They did not raise it, but if you look

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
at their letters, they talked about making sure there were
conditions that would assure the safety of the witnesses'
travel, which I think is covered by the language that the
Government has already agreed to.
     I just want to make sure that we don't get in a situation
where we send a letter, then they ask a clarifying question,
and we lose time.
                    Safety -- I don't know if that's what they
         THE COURT:
meant.
     What did you think they meant before you thought about
this, you know, safe passage idea?
         MR. DiCANIO: Yeah. So as I read their letters,
they're talking about these witnesses not getting arrested if
they were to come to the United States --
         THE COURT:
                     Oh.
         MR. DiCANIO: -- that they'd be able to travel,
testify, and go home, and not be arrested for any pre-travel
offenses.
    Now, if they commit something in the United States, that's
carved out, which, I think, is consistent with the safe passage
letter that the Government had given us before the trial.
                     Okay. Now, you understood they were
         THE COURT:
asking about that before, but you have something in the current
```

letter or nothing in the current letter regarding --

MR. DiCANIO: I realize we don't, Your Honor.

```
just want to add a sentence or two.
 1
              THE COURT: Okay. You have nothing?
 2
              MR. DiCANIO: Correct.
 3
              THE COURT: It's not like you're sort of embellishing.
 4
 5
              MR. DiCANIO: Correct.
              THE COURT: Ms. Reitmeier, did you come up with
 6
     anyplace you want to plug this in?
 7
              MS. REITMEIER: I did, Your Honor.
 8
          In the letter, there is several kind of embedded tables.
 9
     There is one embedded table where it just lists the witness's
10
11
     name, address, gender, and occupation.
              THE COURT: All right. That's on page 6 --
12
              MS. REITMEIER:
13
                              Six.
              THE COURT: -- to 7, I quess. Yeah.
14
              MS. REITMEIER: I think we can put it right before
15
16
     that table, possibly.
17
              THE COURT: Do you have any proposal in mind at the
     moment?
18
              MS. REITMEIER: We do, Your Honor. We're running it
19
    by our client to make sure they're okay with it, and then we'd
20
21
     like to run it by Ms. Vartain as well.
          It mostly, I think, will track the language of the -- the
22
23
     safe passage letter.
```

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

It's probably a good idea to cover that, which is a means

24

25

of reassurance to the Chinese government that their citizens aren't going to be put in jail over something.

Okay. I haven't had a chance to go over the most recent revision, but if everyone is happy with it, in all likelihood, I'm going to use it. Of course there will have to be a new submission with the extra language, once you're all on board with whatever the best way is to phrase that.

Okay. So let's assume that you did manage to get some work done on this, then, and that I -- once I get whatever the next form is that, hopefully, there's some consensus about its language.

I once I have it, I can get it out pretty quickly.

And, Ms. Geiger, you're going to be here in the federal building today; right?

So if we can do this today, then she's here because she won't be back here after that for a while. So that would be helpful -- in my absence, not only that, you know, a TRO came in with some harbormaster in Sausalito is trying to destroy somebody's boat that's docked out in the middle; and according to the harbormaster constitutes debris and doesn't belong there. So, anyway, we'll see what we do with that.

Anyhow, so what else can we do, if anything, on your case before we just take a break. We can set another status conference if necessary; just because at some point, hopefully, something will happen. And then if it doesn't happen by then

```
Case 3:18-cr-00465-MMC
                             Document 531
                                           Filed 07/25/22
                                                        Page 10 of 13
     you can just, you know, have a brief stipulation to move the
 1
 2
     date.
              MR. DiCANIO: Sure, Your Honor. Can I maybe suggest
 3
     two weeks?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Yeah, whatever sounds good to you.
          And then, Ms. Vartain, how does that strike the
 6
 7
     Government? That's with the idea you're going to kind of agree
     with whatever this additur is and then I -- and then I actually
 8
     get this thing rolling today.
 9
              MS. VARTAIN: Your Honor, our date to resume trial
10
11
     testimony is August 22nd, so I would propose sufficiently in
     advance of that maybe Wednesday, August 10th.
12
```

THE COURT: That's okay. I mean, my feeling is the chances of getting this all really wrapped up by August 22, is not looking so great now that we got this communication from, you know, the PRC, but -- whatever we have to do in response to it.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, sure; if everybody is available. Let me take a look.

That's a Wednesday; is that right, August 10? What, at

10:00?

MR. DiCANIO: It's fine with us, Your Honor.

I could tell you that if we, probably, waited a week after, we would have a little bit more information I would think. But happy to do it on the 10th as well.

THE COURT: Well, we can say the 10th and -- look, if

```
we haven't heard anything back from the PRC by the 10th -- I mean, these people still have to -- if they're going to be here on the 22nd, they would have to have made arrangements. I don't know. They may have to make them in advance with some, hopefully, you know, cancelable or at least movable arrangements.
```

I mean, I just have to assume they're going to let them come, but -- okay. In the meantime, we can say --

MR. DiCANIO: Your Honor, I think our biggest challenge -- Your Honor, obviously, our biggest challenge is getting the letter to them.

I agree with you. I have a very strong feeling, like, these -- both of these things are going to be approved. The challenge is just the speed at which we get it to them so they can process it.

THE COURT: Let's do the 10th. Now, if it turns out nothing has happened -- and I'm hoping you and Ms. Vartain and anybody else on your mutual teams can -- you know, respective teams, rather -- would work out whatever has happened to that point. And then, once you know what each other's position is, if it doesn't looks like we ought to do anything on the 10th, then you could actually just maybe ask Ms. Geiger if we could push it back a week, and that would probably be fine.

You know, I can't -- I don't know id some odd thing happened between now and then as far as my calendar goes, but

```
assuming it doesn't.
 1
          So in the meantime, we'll say the matter will stand over
 2
     to August 10, at 10:00 for further status.
 3
              MS. VARTAIN: Your Honor, does the Court have another
 4
 5
     time? I have a conflicting court appearance at 10:00 a.m.
                                                                  Ι
     could probably do 11:00 or later.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: 11:00, if that's okay with everybody else.
              MS. VARTAIN:
                           Thank you.
 8
              MR. DiCANIO: Fine with the Defense, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. So we'll say 11:00. All right.
10
11
              MR. DiCANIO: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Thanks everyone.
12
              MR. DiCANIO: Feel better.
13
                          Thank you. I hope I do. Okay.
14
              THE COURT:
15
              THE CLERK: Court is in recess.
16
                  (Proceedings adjourned at 10:19 a.m.)
17
                                ---000---
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. DATE: Monday, July 25, 2022 Kuth home to Ruth Levine Ekhaus, RMR, RDR, FCRR, CSR No. 12219 Official Reporter, U.S. District Court